



83466LMB  
Customer No. 01333

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Christine J. Landry-Coltrain, et al

MULTILAYER INKJET  
RECORDING ELEMENT WITH  
POROUS POLYESTER PARTICLE

Serial No. 10/028,129

Filed 20 December 2001

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

Group Art Unit: 1774

Examiner: Pamela R. Schwartz

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited today with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner For Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

*Christine Tolhurst*  
Christine Tolhurst

*February 19, 2004*  
Date

RECEIVED

FEB 27 2004

DECLARATION UNDER RULE 132

1. I, Jeffrey W. Leon, state that I am a resident of Rochester, N.Y., in the county of Monroe and am a citizen of the United States. I obtained a Bachelor of Science degree in Chemistry from State University of New York (SUNY) in Albany, NY in 1989. I was an employee of Schenectady Chemicals in Schenectady, New York in 1989 as a resins chemist. I received a PhD in Chemistry from the Univeristy of Rochester, Rochester, NY in 1994, relating to photodegradation of polyester coatings. I did Postdoctoral work at Cornell University, in Ithaca, New York, from 1994-1996, relating to synthesis of dendrimeric polymers. I have been an employee of Eastman Kodak Company (hereinafter referred to as Kodak) since 1996. I have been assigned to work in research development relating to synthesis of latex polymer particles and beads, thermoreactive polymers, inkjet receiver media, polyester synthesis, and water-borne coatings.
2. I am one of the co-inventors of U.S. Serial No. US 10/028,130.
3. I have read the Office Action issued on November 19, 2003 and I am familiar with the references cited therein.

4. In my opinion, it was not obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use particles of less than 0.5 to produce a glossy surface. As explained in the following paragraphs 5-7.
5. It is known in the arts of coating and colloid science that smaller particles are more prone to flocculation during drying processes than larger ones. Flocculation results in smaller particles forming larger clusters, which impart larger feature sizes to a coating. This very often results in less gloss.
6. It is known in the arts of coating and colloid science that coatings of smaller particles will build up large capillary stresses, which will result in mudcracking (and thus loss of gloss) of the coatings. Larger particles are less prone to this phenomenon.
7. Smaller particles often necessitate the use of larger amounts of binder in the coating, which will decrease ink absorption speed. It is well known that the increased surface area of smaller particles typically require more binder to cover the surface of the particles.
8. The cited reference Maeda teaches *away* from particles with a size of  $< 0.5$  microns. See paragraph [00061 "The volume-average particle size  $D$  of the particles used in the present invention is in the range of 0.5-100 microns, preferably in the range of 1-50 microns, more preferably in the range of 2-25 microns.... If the volume-average particle size is too small, binding of the particles becomes difficult."
9. For these reasons it is unobvious to use particles of  $< 0.5$  microns to attain higher gloss. In fact, it would, at first, seem an unattractive option.
10. The calendaring mentioned by the Examiner involves the application of pressure. Porous particles can be crushed during calendaring so this is often not an option. Calendaring requires an extra step, which is not desirable and may not even be feasible in a production process. Calendaring teaches nothing about particle size selection, which produces a glossy surface.
11. In paragraph [0006], Maeda states that the polyester resin is "...obtained by condensing a polyhydric acid alcohol component and a polybasic carboxylic acid component, preferably 5 nmole% or greater of an



unsaturated polybasic carboxylic acid." In paragraph [0009], Maeda gives preferred amounts of unsaturated polybasic carboxylic acids in the polyester resin. The percentages of carboxylic acid monomer, which compose the polyester resin, are unrelated to the final acid number and the acid number cannot be calculated from these percentages.

12. When polyester resins are prepared, the carboxylic acid groups of the polybasic carboxylic acid monomers are reacted with alcohols to form esters. The acid number is an indication of the amount of acid units, which are left unreacted (ie. which are not converted to esters). Thus without knowing the extent of conversion of the polymerization reaction, the molecular weight of the polyester resin, and the ratio of acid units to alcohol units during the polymerization reaction, the extent of branching, and the extent to which acid units were destroyed or formed during side reactions, it is impossible to calculate the acid number of the polyester resin. Also, when the term "acids" or "diacids" or "polyvalent acids" is used when referring to the composition polyesters, it usually refers to repetitive units *derived* from acids or acid derivatives. For example, for a polyester (poly(ethylene terephthalate)) prepared from an ester interchange reaction between ethylene glycol and dimethyl terephthalate it could be said that it is composed of 50% terephthalic acid even though the acid number is zero and dicarboxylic acids were never used in its production.
13. Incidentally, if the polyester recipes given in the examples of Maeda were carried out ideally to their theoretical maximum extent of reaction, the acid numbers would be ZERO for each.
14. I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that the statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent resulting therefrom.

RECEIVED

FEB 27 2004

Date: 2/19/04

Jeffrey W. Leon  
Jeffrey W. Leon